

In The United State Patent and Trademark Office

Applicant: Leo Baschy
Title: User Interface Driven Access Control System and Method
Appln. Number: 10/802,658
Filing Date: 2004-03-17
Confirmation No: 2674
Group Art Unit: 2174
Examiner: Linh K. Pham
Attorney Docket Number: UIDAC-U-1

To: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Statement of the Substance of Interview

To comply with MPEP Section 713.04, Applicant submits recordation of the substance of the Examiner initiated interview conducted 2011-04-12:

The purpose of the call was clarification of the amendment's purpose.

Applicant has explained the increased number of claims:

Applicant pointed to amendment **Remarks** section **Claims hierarchy** subsection.

Applicant has clarified claims for “Portable Document Format document” and for “visually compound digital text document” have been written to be more narrow versions of the original broader claims for “document”.

Applicant has explained a “PDF document” to a person having ordinary skill in the art is and has been known to be a kind of “document”.

Applicant has explained the substitute specification:

The only changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record are marked and placed from page 5 line 3 to page 6 line 29.

An earlier amendment had not been entered due to questions about the unambiguous nature of paragraph identification, where to insert. Hence, a substitute specification was a convenient way to be unambiguous. And an attempt at making Examiner’s work easier.

Further clarification in the substitute specification, clearly marked, regarding “what is a document” has been triggered by Examiner repeatedly claiming in prior art a document’s content is being shown when in fact there is nothing a person having ordinary skill in the art would consider a document's content. Applicant has clarified “digital document”, to help prevent any further unusual interpretations. A document in Microsoft Windows is NOT as general as “everything is a file” in Unix.

For the purpose of clarity of more narrow claims, Applicant has defined terms more narrow than “digital document”. These terms do not add functionality. They simply allow to claim “just for this specific kind of digital document”.

The substitute specification includes no new matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/Leo Baschy/

Leo Baschy

Applicant Pro Se

Date 2011-06-15